

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,396	09/12/2003	Joseph R. Hedrick	0112300-447	8419
29159	7590 03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
BELL, BOY! P. O. BOX 113	D & LLOYD LLC		RADA, A	ALEX P
	L 60690-1135		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	10/661,396
merview cummary	Examiner
	Alex P. Rada

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/661,396	HEDRICK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Alex P. Rada	3713

Interview Summary	10/001,390 HEDRICK ET AL.	
interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alex P. Rada	3713
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Alex P. Rada.	(3) <u>Jason Skaarp</u> .	
(2) Adam H. Masia.	(4) Corbet Coburn.	
Date of Interview: 23 February 2006.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∐ applicant's representative	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u>□</u> No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-20</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>DeMar, Hughes, Corde</u>	<u>·II</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERQUIREMENTS ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached Sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APPLICANT IS / DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
		·

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
 attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
 not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's further elaborated on the claimed invention. The exmainer also elaborated the prior art cited in the Final Rejection. Applicant's suggested some proposed language regarding the retractabl switch being flush with the rest of the buttons or switches on the slot machine and why the Cordell and Hughes fails to disclose that particular feature. The proposed language will be taken into favorable consideration upon filling a response pending further searching and consideration.

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD

Visit our web site at www.bellboyd.com

FAX COVER SHEET

Date	February 13, 200			
Total Number of Pages	2 (Including thi	:		
To Mr. Alex P. Rada	Company U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	City Alexandria, VA	Fax Number (571) 273-4452	Phone Number (571) 272-4452
From	Jason Skaarup			•
Phone	312-807-4381			
Direct Fax	312-827-8198		•	
Client/Matter Number	0112300-00447			

If this transmission is incomplete, please call.

This document is intended only for the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any use, dissemination or copying of this communication other than by the addressee is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original facsimile to us by mail.

If this communication contains advice with respect to a Federal tax matter, in accordance with the Treasury Department's Circular 230 such advice is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding any Federal tax penalties.

Thank you.

Message

anlicant Initiated Interview				
pplicant Initiated Interview	Request Form			
plication No. <u>10/661,396</u> aminer: <u>Alex Rada</u> cket No. 112300-447	First Named Applicant: <u>Josep</u> Art Unit: <u>3714</u> Sta	h R. Hedrick tus of Application: <u>Pendin</u>		
ntative Participants: Adam H. Masia Corbett Coburn	(2) <u>Alex P. Rada</u> (5)	(3) <u>Jaso</u>	n Skaarup	
oposed Date of Interview: February	23, 2006 Proposed Time:	<u>12:30 (AM/</u> PM) Eastern T	l'ime	
pe of Interview Requested: [X]Telephonic	(2) [] Personal	(3) [] Video C	onference	
hibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated yes, provide brief description:		סא [:		
	Issues To Be Discr	ussed	,1 <u>.</u>	
ues Claims/ ei., Obi., etc) Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
ej., Obj., etc) Fig. #s Rejection	DeMar, Hughes Cordell and Ho	llowed []	[] [] []	[] [] []
Continuation Sheet Attached				
rief Description of Arguments to be o. 6,270,410), Hughes (U.S. Patent Notent No. 6,293,485). Applicant wishestent arguments why DeMar et al., Haimed invention.	o. 6,120,025), Corden (D.S. Patent A es to discuss claims 1-40 in view of t ughes, Cordell and Hallowed, wheth	he cited references. Specifier analyzed alone or in con	ically. Applica	it wishes to
				
his form should be completed by applicant of be delayed from issue because of applicant fithe substance of this interview (37 CFR Adam H. Masia (Reg. No. 35,602)	1.133(b)) as soon as possible.		P § 713.01). Thi plicant is advised examiner/SPB	·
Applicant/Applicant's Representative				

ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.